INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE IN GLOBAL ISSUE (ICGI) "Impact of Sustainability on Organization: Adapting in the Global and

Dynamic Challenge"

THE DETERMINANTS OF STOCK PRICE WITH PROFITABILITY AS A MODERATING VARIABLE

Riza Reka Atin

rizarekaatin@mhs.unisbank.ac.id,

Sunarto

sunarto@edu.unisbank.ac.id

ABSTRACT

The Capital Market is a place for companies to obtain capital from investors. Investors in Indonesian Capital Market come from individual investors and institutional investors. According to the Indonesian Central Securities Depository, the number of individual investors in January 2024 reached 12,126,768, with a percentage of 99.66%. Investors should know the information about Stock Price and the factors that affect company's Stock Price before investing funds in a company so that investor do not make mistakes in the decision-making process. The purpose of this research is to analyze the impact of Liquidity and Leverage on Stock Price with Profitability as the moderating variable. The researcher took up this topic because the Profitability variable indicates how much profit a company is making, so it is hoped that this variable can moderate the independent variable on Stock Price. The analytical methods used are tests of descriptive statistics tests, normality test, classical assumption tests, including tests of multicollinearity, heteroscedasticity, and autocorrelation tests, multiple regression test, model tests and hypothesis test. The sampling method used in this research is the purposive sampling method, so that the sample of 116 samples was obtained. The results of this research showed that Leverage (Debt to Equity Ratio) and Profitability (Return on Assets) are negatively significant to Stock Price, while Liquidity (Current Ratio) is negatively insignificant to Stock Price. The Profitability variable (Return on Assets) is not able to moderate the independent variable on Stock Price. Therefore, it can be concluded that Leverage and Profitability have a negative effect on Stock Price, while Liquidity has no effect on Stock Price. Profitability variable cannot moderate the independent variable of Stock Price. The implications that the researchers can provide are that investors are expected to consider the factors that affect the company's Stock Price before investing their funds in the company. Companies are also expected to take note of the findings and make them a benchmark for companies to perform well.

Keywords: liquidity, leverage, profitability, stock price.

INTRODUCTION

The Capital Market is a place for companies to obtain capital from investors. Stocks are the most popular type of securities among the public. Investors in the Indonesian Capital Market come from individual investors and institutional investors. According to the Indonesian Central Securities Depository (2024), the number of individual investors in January 2024 reached 12,126,768, with a percentage of 99.66%. Investors (both individual investors and institutional investors) should know the information about a company's shares before investing funds in the company so that investors do not make mistakes in the decision-making process. The company's stock information that needs to be known consists of various types of information, including Stock Price. Stock Price are of course influenced by some factors, including Liquidity, Leverage, and company's Profitability. The purpose of this research is to analyze the effect of Liquidity, Leverage, and Profitability on Stock Price in manufacturing companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange in 2020-2023. This research also aims to analyze the effect of Profitability as a moderating variable between Liquidity and Leverage on Stock Price in manufacturing companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange in 2020-2023. This research is supported by various journals by Manulang et

INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE IN GLOBAL ISSUE (ICGI) "Impact of Sustainability on Organization: Adapting in the Global and

Dyńamic Challenge"

al. (2021), Meidiyustiani & Niazi (2021), Sihaloho et al. (2021), Alamsyah et al. (2022), Anisa et al. (2022), Lu'luatuwwafiroh et al. (2022), Akadiati et al. (2023), and Wardhani & Sunarto (2023) which state that Liquidity, Leverage, and Profitability have a negative effect on Stock Price. This research is also expected to prove that Profitability can strengthen and change the direction of Liquidity and Leverage on Stock Price. The sampling technique used in this research was the purposive sampling technique, so that a sample of 116 samples was obtained.

LITEATURE REVIEW AND HYPOTHESIS DEVELOPMENT

Literary Studies Sub

Signaling theory provides a description of behavior when there are two parties with different information. The first party (management) in this theory must provide a signal and communicate information to the other party, while the other party (external party to the company) receives information from the first party (Connelly et al., 2010). According to the Financial Services Authority (2024), shares can be defined as a sign of capital participation of a person or party (business entity) in a company or limited liability company. The company issues shares to obtain funds from investors. Investors get two benefits in return, namely dividends and capital gains.

Profitability is a group of ratios that show the combination of the influence of liquidity, asset management, and debt on operating results (Brigham & Houston, 2014). Good performance will generate added value, which can attract investors and increase Stock Price. Liquidity is a ratio that shows the connection between a company's cash and other current assets with its current liabilities (Brigham & Houston, 2014). Liquidity ratio measures Liquidity quickly and easily by linking cash and other current assets to current liabilities. Kasmir (2016) said that Leverage (solvency) is a ratio used to measure the extent to which a company's activities are financed by liabilities. In addition, the Leverage ratio is used to asses a company's ability to meet long-term commitments.

Hypothesis Development

Liquidity is the company's ability to pay off its current liabilities. The higher the Liquidity level of a company, the better the company's performance. The better the company's performance, the lower the Stock Price volatility. It shows that Liquidity (Current Ratio) has a negatively significant effect on Stock Price (H₁). Leverage (solvency) is the level of a company's long-term debt expenditure to the company's assets or equity. The greater the ratio of a company's long-term debt to assets or equity, the more difficult it is to pay off the company's debt. The difficulty of repayment causes the company's value to decrease, which can cause the Stock Price to decrease. This causes investors to get a bad signal, so they are reluctant to invest in the company. This phenomenon will make the Stock Price tend to be stable with a low Stock Price. It shows that Leverage (Debt to Equity Ratio) has a negatively significant effect on Stock Price (H₂).

Profitability is the ability of a company to generate profits within a certain period. The higher the profit generated by the company, the better the value of the company. Good company value causes the volatility of the company's Stock Price to decrease. It shows that Profitability (Return on Assets) has a negatively significant effect on Stock Price (H₃). ROA as a Profitability ratio can strengthen the connection between Liquidity and Stock Price volatility. This happens because the greater the company's ROA, the greater the profit the company earns that can be used to pay off the company's current debt. The increasing current ratio value will make investors interested in investing, so that the volatility of the company's Stock Price also increases. It shows that Profitability can strengthen and change the direction of Liquidity towards Stock Price (H₄).

ROA as a Profitability ratio can strengthen the connection between Leverage and Stock Price volatility. This happens because the greater the company's ROA, the greater the profit the company earns that can be used to pay off the company's debt. A smaller DER value will make investors interested in investing, so that Stock Price volatility in the company also increases. It shows that that Profitability can strengthen and change the direction of Leverage towards

Stock Price (H₅).

RESEARCH METHOD

This research examines the effect of Profitability, Liquidity, and Leverage on Stock Price, as well as the effect of Profitability in moderating Liquidity and Leverage variables on Stock Price in manufacturing companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange (IDX) in 2020-2023. This research is quantitative research. The population used in this research is manufacturing companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange (IDX) website in 2020-2023. The sampling technique used in this research is the purposive sampling technique.

The data used in this research are secondary data. The data to be used are obtained from the company's financial statements and annual reports for 2020-2023 on the Indonesia Stock Exchange (IDX) website. The data collection technique used in this research is documentation. This is done by searching for and collecting the necessary data from financial statements and annual reports listed on the IDX website (www.idx.co.id) and other necessary data. Supporting data in this research were obtained from scientific journals and literature containing the things needed in this research. The data analysis techniques used in this research are descriptive statistics tests, normality test, classical assumption tests, multiple linear regression test, model tests, and hypothesis test.

Variable **Formula** $((Hi-Li):(^{\hbox{\it Hi}}\,\underline{+}\, L\underline{i}))$ $^{2}\sum_{n}^{n}$ Stock Price (Price Volatility) $\sqrt{i=1}$ Current Assets Liquidity Current Liabilities Total Liabilities *x* 100% Leverage Total Equity Net Pr o fit **Profitability** Total Assets

Table 1. Variable Measurement

RESULTS

1. Descriptive Statistics Tests

Table 2. Descriptive Statistics Before Outlier

| | N | Minimu m | Maximum | Mean | Std. Deviation |
|------------------------|-----|-------------|---------|----------|----------------|
| Stock Price Volatility | 116 | 0.0000 | 0.9767 | 0.274523 | 0.1581305 |
| Liquidity | 116 | 0.3300 | 9.9500 | 2.685255 | 1.9886354 |
| Leverage | 116 | 0.1000 | 7.9400 | 0.899448 | 1.0871365 |
| Profitability | 116 | 0.0010 | 0.3430 | 0.095121 | 0.0619335 |
| Valid N (listwise) | 116 | | | | |

"Impact of Sustainability on Organization: Adapting in the Global and Dynamic Challenge"

- The result of the descriptive statistics tests before outlier is shown in the following explanation.
- 1) Stock Price Volatility (Y) as dependent variable shows variation between 0.000 and 0.976, with average of 0.274 and standard deviation of 0.158.
- 2) Liquidity (X_1) as the first independent variable shows variation between 0.330 and 9.950, with average of 2.685 and standard deviation of 1.988.
- 3) Leverage (X_2) as the second independent variable shows variation between 0.100 and 7.940, with average of 0.899 and standard deviation of 1.087.
- 4) Profitability (Z) as moderating variable shows variation between 0.001 and 0.343, with average of 0.095 and standard deviation of 0.619.

Table 3. Descriptive Statistics After Outlier

| | N | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Std. Deviation |
|------------------------|-----|---------|---------|----------|----------------|
| Stock Price Volatility | 105 | 0.0000 | 0.5345 | 0.237654 | 0.1053248 |
| Liquidity | 105 | 0.3300 | 9.9500 | 2.735686 | 2.0405463 |
| Leverage | 105 | 0.1000 | 7.9400 | 0.891229 | 1.1243727 |
| Profitability | 105 | 0.0010 | 0.3100 | 0.096143 | 0.0582570 |
| Valid N (listwise) | 105 | | | | |

The result of the descriptive statistics tests after outlier is shown in the following explanation.

- 1) Stock Price Volatility (Y) as dependent variable shows variation between 0.000 and 0.534, with average of 0.237 and standard deviation of 0.105.
- 2) Liquidity (X_1) as the first independent variable shows variation between 0.330 and 9.950, with average of 2.735 and standard deviation of 2.040.
- 3) Leverage (X_2) as the second independent variable shows variation between 0.100 and 7.940, with average of 0.891 and standard deviation of 1.124.
- 4) Profitability (Z) as moderating variable shows variation between 0.001 and 0.310, with average of 0.096 and standard deviation of 0.058.

2. Normality Test

Table 4. Normality Test

| Table 4. Not many Test | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|----------|------------|----------|------------|------------|------------|--|--|--|
| Data | Skewness | Std. Error | Kurtosis | Std. Error | Z Skewness | Z Kurtosis | | | |
| Initial | 1.516 | 0.225 | 3.308 | 0.446 | 6.737 | 7.417 | | | |
| Normal 1 | 0.762 | 0.229 | -0.054 | 0.455 | 3.327 | 0.118 | | | |
| Normal 2 | 0.449 | 0.236 | -0.602 | 0.467 | 1.902 | 1.289 | | | |

The researcher began the testing process by collecting 116 data. However, in the initial normality test, the data was not normally distributed because the Skewness value was 6.737 and Kurtosis was 7.417. These values exceed the expected results, which are between -1.96 and 1.96. To overcome this, researchers eliminated some data that exceeded the limits twice. The data that passed the normality test are 105 data. In this test, the data is normally distributed with a Skewness value of 1.902 and Kurtosis of 1.289. The data has met the requirements as normally distributed data because the values obtained have met the expected values.

3. Classical Assumption Tests

a. Multicollineari

Table 5. Multicollinearity Test

| Tubic | structeonineurity rest | |
|------------|------------------------|-----------|
| Co | ollinearity Statistics | 9 9 5 5 |
| | Tolerance | VIF |
| (Constant) | | 9 94 = 54 |
| Liquidity | 0.104 | 9.614 |

"Impact of Sustainability on Organization: Adapting in the Global and Dynamic Challenge"

| Leverage | 0.182 | 5.482 |
|---------------|-------|--------|
| Profitability | 0.142 | 7.024 |
| Moderate 1 | 0.067 | 14.957 |
| Moderate 2 | 0.136 | 7.374 |

In this research, the tolerance value is between 0.104 to 0.182 > 0.10, with a VIF value between 5.482 to 9.614 < 10. High tolerance values and low VIF values indicate that there is no multicollinearity in variables X_1 and X_2 , variable Z, and Moderate 2. In variable Moderate 1, low tolerance values and high VIF values explain the existence of a multicollinearity problem. However, in this research, this variable is a moderating variable so that the existence of multicollinearity in this variable can be accepted.

b. Heteroscedasticity Test

Table 6. Heteroscedasticity Test

| Unstandardized Co | efficients | | 4 | Ci.~ | | |
|-------------------|------------|------------|-----------------|---------------|----------|-------|
| | В | Std. Error | Beta | | - | Sig. |
| (Constant) | 0.125 | 0.024 | The second of E | The second of | 5.135 | 0.000 |
| Liquidity | -0.010 | 0.008 | | -0.394 | -1.323 | 0.189 |
| Leverage | -0.008 | 0.011 | | -0.172 | -0.764 | 0.447 |
| Profitability | -0.372 | 0.235 | | -0.403 | -1.583 | 0.117 |
| Moderate 1 | 0.097 | 0.068 | | 0.531 | 1.427 | 0.157 |
| Moderate 2 | 0.005 | 0.102 | | 0.012 | 0.046 | 0.963 |

^{*} Dependent Variable : AbsRes

The results of the heteroscedasticity test indicate that there is no heteroscedasticity between the variables tested. This can be seen through the significance value of each variable > 0.05, where Liquidity has significance value of 0.189, Leverage has significance value of 0.447, Profitability has significance value of 0.117, Moderate 1 has significance value of 0.157, and Moderate 2 has significance value of 0.963.

c. Autocorrelation Test

Table 7. Autocorrelation Test *

| Model | R | R Square | 1 72 | Adjusted R Square | Std. Error of the Estimate | Durbin-Watson |
|-------|-------|-------------|------|----------------------|----------------------------|---------------|
| 1 | 0.358 | 0.128 | s s | 0.084 | 0.1008047 | 1.681 |

^{**} Predictors: (Constant), Moderate 2, Moderate 1, Profitability, Leverage, Liquidity,

Table 8. Durbin Watson

| 2 5 2 | dL | dU | DW | 4-dU | 4-dL | |
|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---|
| 0 | 1.441 | 1.647 | 1.681 | 2.353 | 2.559 | 4 |

In this analysis, the recorded DW value is 1.681. Based on this value, it can be concluded that the DW value is between 1.647 and 2.363, so it can be concluded that there is no autocorrelation in the residuals of the regression model.

^{*} Dependent Variable : Price Stock Volatility

4. Multiple Linear Regression

Table 9. Multiple Linear Regression

| Unstandardized Coefficients | | | Standardized Coefficients | | | , | C:~ | |
|-----------------------------|-----|------------|---------------------------|------------------------|-------|--------|--------|-------|
| | В | Std. Error | х х | × _{v v} × · v | Beta | | i l S | Sig. |
| (Constant) | = = | 0.372 | 0.046 | | 8 7 8 | | 7.994 | 0.000 |
| Liquidity | | -0.026 | 0.015 | | | -0.502 | -1.724 | 0.088 |
| Leverage | | -0.044 | 0.021 | | | -0.469 | -2.133 | 0.035 |
| Profitability | | -0.889 | 0.450 | | | -0.492 | -1.977 | 0.051 |
| Moderate 1 | | 0.149 | 0.130 | | | 0.418 | 1.151 | 0.252 |
| Moderate 2 | | 0.221 | 0.195 | | | 0.288 | 1.131 | 0.261 |

^{*} Dependent Variable : Price Stock Volatility

The multiple linear regression formula is shown in the following explanation. Y = 0.372

$$0.026X_1 - 0.044X_2 - 0.889Z + 0.149X_1Z + 0.221X_2Z + e$$

Based on the regression equation above, it can be explained as follows.

- 1) B_1 Liquidity: The coefficient of -0.026 indicates a negative connection between Liquidity and Stock Price Volatility. In other words, every one unit increase in Liquidity is associated with a decrease of 0.026 units in Stock Price Volatility.
- 2) B₂ Leverage: The coefficient of -0.044 indicates a negative connection between Leverage and Stock Price Volatility. In other words, every one unit increase in Leverage is associated with a decrease of 0.044 units in Stock Price Volatility.
- 3) B₃ Profitability: The coefficient of -0.889 indicates a negative connection between Profitability and Stock Price Volatility. In other words, every one unit increase in Profitability is associated with a decrease of 0.889 units in Stock Price Volatility.
- 4) B₄ Moderate 1: A positive coefficient of 0.149 indicates a positive relationship between Liquidity and Stock Price Volatility moderated by the Profitability variable. In other words, every one unit increase in Liquidity moderated by Profitability is associated with a 0.149 unit increase in Stock Price Volatility.
- 5) B_5 Moderate 2: A positive coefficient of 0.221 indicates a positive relationship between Leverage and Stock Price Volatility moderated by the Profitability
 - variable. In other words, every one unit increase in Leverage moderated by Profitability is associated with a 0.221 unit increase in Stock Price Volatility.

5. Model Tests

a. F Test

Table 10. F Test *

| Model | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
|------------|----------------|----|-------------|-------|----------|
| Regression | 0.148 | 5 | 0.030 | 2.907 | 0.017 ** |
| Residual | 1.006 | 99 | 0.010 | | |

"Impact of Sustainability on Organization: Adapting in the Global and Dynamic Challenge"

Total 1.154 10-

The output of the F test with a significance value of 0.017 indicate that the model qualifies for the goodness of fit test, because the F significance is less than 0.05.

b. R² Determination Coefficient Test

Table 11. R² Determination Coefficient Test

| Model | R | R Square | Adjusted R Square | Std. Error of the Estimate | 3 P.S. |
|-------|---------|----------|-------------------|----------------------------|---------|
| 1 | 0.358 * | 0.128 | 0.084 | 0.1008047 | 5 E 6 1 |

^{*} Predictors: (Constant), Moderate 2, Moderate 1, Profitability, Leverage, Liquidity

The output of the R² determination coefficient test show that the regression model involving the variables Liquidity, Leverage, and Profitability (as moderating variables) can explain around 12.8% of Stock Price Volatility, while 87.2% is explained by other factors not included in this model.

6. Hypothesis Test

Table 12. Hypothesis Test

| a .cc . | |
|--------------|------|
| Coefficient | C T |
| COCITICICITY | LO . |

| Unstandardize | ed Coefficie | nts Stan | Standardized Coefficients | | Cia | _ |
|---------------|--------------|------------|---------------------------|------------|-------|---|
| | В | Std. Error | Beta | - t | Sig. | |
| (Constant) | 0.372 | 0.046 | | 7.994 | 0.000 | |
| Liquidity | -0.026 | 0.015 | -0.502 | -1.724 | 0.088 | |
| Leverage | -0.044 | 0.021 | -0.469 | -2.133 | 0.035 | |
| Profitability | -0.889 | 0.450 | -0.492 | -1.977 | 0.051 | |
| Moderate 1 | 0.149 | 0.130 | 0.418 | 1.151 | 0.252 | |
| Moderate 2 | 0.221 | 0.195 | 0.288 | 1.131 | 0.261 | |

^{*} Dependent Variable : Price Stock Volatility

Based on the hypothesis test results table, researchers can conclude that:

- 1) The results of the t-test on the Liquidity variable with a t value of -1.7994 and a p- value of 0.088 (0.088 > 0.05) do not indicate any significance in the variable in influencing Stock Price Volatility. **H**₁ is rejected.
- 2) The results of the t-test on the Leverage variable with a t value of -2.133 and a p- value of 0.035 (0.035 < 0.05) partially indicate that there is negatively significance in the variable in influencing Stock Price Volatility. **H₂ is accepted**.
- 3) The results of the t-test on the Profitability variable with a t value of -1.977 and a p-value of $0.051 \ (0.051 = 0.05)$ show negatively significance in influencing Stock Price Volatility. **H**₃ is accepted.
- 4) The results of the t-test on the Moderate 1 variable with a t value of 1.151 and a p- value of 0.252 (0.252 > 0.05) do not show significance in influencing Stock Price Volatility. $\mathbf{H_4}$ is rejected.
- 5) The results of the t-test on the Moderate 2 variable with a t value of 1.131 and a p- value of 0.261 (0.261 > 0.05) do not show significance in influencing Stock Price Volatility. \mathbf{H}_5 is rejected.

^{**} Predictors: (Constant), Moderate 2, Moderate 1, Profitability, Leverage, Liquidity, * Dependent Variable: Price Stock Volatility

INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE IN GLOBAL ISSUE (ICGI) "Impact of Sustainability on Organization: Adapting in the Global and

Dynamic Challenge"

DISCUSSION

1. The Influence of Liquidity (Current Ratio) on Stock Price

The output of the hypothesis test show that liquidity (Current Ratio) has a negative but insignificant effect on Stock Price. The higher the level of liquidity of a company, the better the company's performance in paying off short-term liabilities. The better the company's performance, the more potential investors are interested in investing in the company. The fluctuations in Stock Price are also decreasing. The phenomenon is related to the signal theory which states that management must provide signals in the form of information to investors or potential investors to reduce existing information asymmetry. This explanation is in line with research conducted by Wardhani and Sunarto (2023), Meidiyustiani and Niazi (2021), and Sihaloho et al. (2021) which states that liquidity (Current Ratio) has a negative effect on Stock Price.

2. The Influence of Leverage (DER) on Stock Price

The output of the hypothesis test show that the leverage (DER) has a significant negative effect on Stock Price. The greater the ratio of a company's long-term debt to equity, the more difficult it is to pay off the company's debt. The difficulty of repayment causes the company's value to decrease, which can cause the Stock Price to decrease as well. Bad news from the company causes investors to get a bad signal, so they are reluctant to invest in the company. This phenomenon will make Stock Price tend to be stable with low Stock Price. This explanation is in line with research conducted by Lu'luatuwwafitoh et al. (2022), Anisa et al. (2022), Alamsyah et al. (2022), and Sihaloho et al. (2021) which states that leverage (DER) has a negative effect on Stock Price.

3. The Influence of Profitability (ROA) on Stock Price

The output of the hypothesis test show that the profitability (ROA) has a significant negative effect on Stock Price. The higher the profit generated by the company, the better the company's value. Good company value causes the volatility of the company's Stock Price to decrease and the Stock Price tends to be more stable. The good news obtained from the company is connected to the signal theory which states that management must provide signals in the form of information to investors to reduce existing information asymmetry. This explanation is in line with research conducted by Lu'luatuwwafitoh et al. (2022), Anisa et al. (2022), Meidiyustiani and Niazi (2021), and Manulang et al. (2021) which states that profitability (ROA) has a negative effect on Stock Price.

4. The Role of Profitability as a Moderating Variable on the Relationship between Liquidity and Stock Price

The output of the hypothesis test show that profitability (ROA) can insignificantly moderate and change the direction of the connection between liquidity and Stock Price. The higher the level of liquidity of a company, the better the company's performance. The better the company's performance, the lower the Stock Price volatility. This is related to the signal theory which states that management must provide signals in the form of information to investors to reduce existing information asymmetry. ROA as a profitability ratio can strengthen the connection between liquidity and Stock Price volatility. This happens because the greater the company's ROA, the greater the profit the company earns that can be used to pay off the company's current liabilities. The increase value of the current ratio will make investors interested in investing, so that the volatility of the company's Stock Price also increases.

5. The Role of Profitability as a Moderating Variable on the Relationship between Leverage and Stock Price

The output of the hypothesis test show that the profitability (ROA) can insignificantly moderate and change the direction of the connection between leverage and Stock Price. The greater the ratio of a company's long-term liabilities to equity, the more difficult it is to pay off the company's debts. The difficulty of repayment causes the company's value to decrease, which can cause the Stock Price to decrease. This causes investors to get a bad signal, so they are reluctant to invest in the company. This phenomenon will make the Stock Price tend to be stable with a low Stock Price. ROA as a profitability ratio can strengthen the connection between leverage and Stock Price volatility. This happens because the greater the company's ROA, the greater the profit the company

"Impact of Sustainability on Organization: Adapting in the Global and Dynamic Challenge"

earns that can be used to pay off the company's liabilities. A smaller DER value will make investors interested in investing, so that Stock Price volatility in the company also increases.

CONCLUSION, LIMITATION, SUGGESTION

Conclusion

The conclusion that can be drawn from this research is that Liquidity (Current Ratio) has a negative but insignificant effect on Stock Price Volatility. The size of the Current Ratio does not affect Stock Price Volatility. Leverage (DER) has significantly negative effect on Stock Price. The larger the DER, the lower the Stock Price. Profitability (ROA) has significantly negative effect on Stock Price Volatility. The size of the ROA has significantly negative effect on Stock Price Volatility. Profitability (ROA) cannot moderate the connection between Liquidity (Current Ratio) and Stock Price Volatility. Profitability (ROA) cannot moderate the connection between Leverage (DER) and Stock Price Volatility.

Limitation

Some limitations were found in this research. These limitations can potentially change the results of the research and are expected to be improved in further studies. The sample used in this research is limited to the food and beverage subsector, so the results cannot describe the overall results of research on manufacturing companies. The coefficient of determination (R²) value in this research is very small, which is only 12.8%, while 87.2% is explained by other factors not included in this research. The independent variables in this research are less able to explain the dependent. The profitability variable (ROA) in this research is not able to moderate the relationship between the independent variable and the dependent variable, so further researchers need to use other variables as moderating variables for research on Stock Price.

Suggestion

There are some suggestions put forward by researchers for further researchers. The sample used for further research is expected to be expanded to obtain more accurate research results. Other independent variables that affect the dependent variable (Stock Price) can be used in further research, so that further research is expected to obtain a higher determination coefficient value. Further researchers can use other moderating variables that can moderate the connection between the independent variable and the dependent variable (Stock Price).

REFERENCES

Akadiati, V. A. P., Suhendi, A., & Jatiningrum, C. (2023). Pengaruh rasio kinerja keuangan pada harga saham perusahaan prospektus. *KOMPARTEMEN: JURNAL ILMIAH AKUNTANSI*, 21(2), 199–212.

http://jurnalnasional.ump.ac.id/index.php/kompartemen/

- Alamsyah, S., Suharti, E., & Suryani, S. I. (2022). Volatilitas Harga Saham Perusahaan Properti di BEI. *JURNAL SEKURITAS (Saham, Ekonomi, Keuangan Dan Investasi)*, *5*(3), 211–222. https://www.cnbcindonesia.com/market/20210326093739-17-233038
- Anisa, O. N., Agung, R. E. W., & Nurcahyono, N. (2022). Faktor-Faktor yang Mempengaruhi Harga Saham: Berdasarkan Signaling Theory. *Jurnal Akuntansi Indonesia*, *11*(2), 85–95. https://doi.org/10.30659/jai
 - Brigham, E. F., & Houston, J. F. (2014). DASAR-DASAR MANAJEMEN KEUANGAN (11th
 - ed., Vol. 1). SalembaEmpat.
- Connelly, B. L., Certo, S. T., Ireland, R. D., & Reutzel, C. R. (2010). Signaling Theory: A Review and Assessment. *Journal of Management*, *37*(1), 39–67. https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206310388419
- Dewi, F. A., & Sunarto, S. (2024). Analisis Pengaruh Kebijakan Deviden dan Kinerja Keuangan terhadap Harga Saham (Studi Empiris Perusahaan Sektor Industri Dasar dan Kimia di BEI Tahun 2018-2022). COSTING: Journal of Economic, Business and

"Impact of Sustainability on Organization: Adapting in the Global and Dynamic Challenge"

- Accounting, 7(3), 5217-5232.
- Kasmir. (2016). *Pengantar Manajemen Keuangan: Edisi Kedua* (2nd ed.). Prenada Media. KSEI. (2024). *Statistik Pasar Modal Indonesia*. https://doi.org/10.311.152 Lu'luatuwwafiroh, Subadriyah, & Aliyah, S. (2022). Analisis Pengaruh Leverage, Profitabilitas Dan Dividen Terhadap Volatilitas Harga Saham. *Indonesian Accounting Literacy Journal*, 03(01), 75–86.
- Manulang, R. U., Panjaitan, I. S., Damanik, D. S., Manalu, E., & Januardin. (2021). Pengaruh ROA, DER, NPM terhadap Harga Saham pada Sektor Keuangan (Finance) yang Terdaftar di BEI Periode 2015-2019. *Jurnal Manajemen Terapan Dan Keuangan (Mankeu)*, 10(02). www.idx.co.id.
- Meidiyustiani, R., & Niazi, H. A. (2021). Analisis Pengaruh Current Ratio, Quick Ratio, Return on Assets dan Return on Equity terhadap Harga Saham. *Jurnal Ekonomika Dan Manajemen*, 10(2), 82–94.
- Otoritas Jasa Keuangan. (2024). *Saham*. <u>Https://Www.Idx.Co.Id/Id/Produk/Saham</u>. https://www.idx.co.id/id/produk/saham
- Rindengan, I. P., & Sunarto. (2024). Indicators That Effect Stock Price. *Bilancia: Jurnal Ilmiah Akuntansi*, 8(2),
 - 135-144. http://www.ejournal.pelitaindonesia.ac.id/ojs32/index.php/BILANCIA/index
- Sihaloho, J., Nababan, D., Sihombing, T., & Malau, Y. N. (2021). Pengaruh Total Asset Turn Over (TATO), Earning Per Share (EPS), Debt to Equity Ratio (DER) dan Current Ratio (CR) terhadap Harga Saham Perusahaan Manufaktur Sektor Industri Dasar dan Kimia yang Terdaftar di Bursa Efek Indonesia Tahun 2016-2019. *Jurnal Ilmiah MEA (Manajemen, Ekonomi, Dan Akuntansi)*, 5(1), 1384–1401.
- Wardhani, M. J., & Sunarto. (2023). The Effect of Profitability, Solvency, Liquidity and Size on Stock Price in Manufacturing Companies in the Consumer Goods Industry Sector Listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange (IDX) for the Period 2016-2021. COSTING: Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting, 7(1), 6888–6898.